1. Introduction

Between 30 and 40% of patients suffering from major depressive disorder will develop treatment-resistant depression (TRD), classically described as a lack of satisfactory response to two or more adequate antidepressant trials (McAllister-Williams et al., 2020). For these patients, a well-established approach is transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) (Milev et al., 2016). Current hypotheses suggest that TMS can increase neuroplasticity through complex neurobiochemical mechanisms, such as agonistic effect on NMDA receptors and modulation of dopaminergic and gamma-aminobutyric acid activity (Miron et al., 2021). It is also theorized that standard high-frequency (HF) left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (L-DLPFC) TMS can help normalize aberrant large-scale brain network activity through the salience network, decreasing hyperactivity in the default-mode network and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, decreasing ruminations (Rolls, 2016). Given the limited therapeutic options remaining for TMS-resistant TRD patients, there is an interest in assessing the effectiveness of ketamine in that population.

2. Methods

Between September 2018 and October 2022, twenty-one (21) TRD patients who received and failed to respond to a standard course of HF L-DLPFC TMS were included. TMS was delivered either on a MagVenture system (870 coil) using the BeamF3 algorithm (20 Hz, 3000 pulses, 30 trains, 5 s ON, 25 s OFF, 120% motor threshold [MT] intensity, 15 min) or a Brainsway system (H1 coil) (18 Hz, 1980 pulses, 55 trains, 2 s ON, 20 s OFF, 120% MT, ~20 min). Patients were subsequently scheduled to receive IV racemic ketamine, which consisted of 0.5 mg/kg infusions over 60 min, three (3) times a week over two (2) weeks (Swainson et al., 2021).

Patients were queried daily about any side effects on treatment days. Effectiveness outcomes were assessed using the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Scale (MADRS) pre- and post-treatment. Baseline MADRS was completed immediately before the first session, and post-treatment MADRS was completed immediately after the last session. Outcomes of interest included mean percent improvement, remission rates (<10 score post-treatment), and response rates (score reductions of ≥50% from baseline score). We included remitters in responders.
3. Results

Mean age was 49.8 (±12.5) years and 33.3 % of patients were women. More than half (57.1 %) suffered from comorbid anxiety and three (3) had bipolar TRD. No serious adverse events occurred. 12/21 (57.1 %) of patients reported mild to moderate dissociation during the infusion, one patient reported temporary nausea during the infusion, one patient reported increased insomnia during the treatment course, and two patients reported increased anxiety during one of the infusions. Mean baseline MADRS score was 27.6 ± 6.4 (moderate depression), decreasing to 18.6 ± 8.9 (mild depression) post-treatment (see Fig. 1). Mean percent improvement post-treatment was 34.5 % ± 21.1. Paired sample t-test showed significant MADRS score decrease pre- to post-treatment [t(20) = 7.212, p < .001]. Overall, four (4) patients (19.0 %) responded, and two (2) of those achieved remission (9.5 %).

4. Discussion

The treatment was safe with minimal side effects. Response and remission rates to IV ketamine in our cohort were 19.0 % and 9.5 %, comparable to what was reported in a retrospective cohort comparing outcomes of IV ketamine in TRD patients with or without a history of neuromodulation (20.0 % response and 10.9 % remission in the group with a history of neuromodulation) (Rodrigues et al., 2020). In non-TMS resistant TRD populations, response and remission rates following six ketamine infusions have been reported to be around 51 % and 26 %, respectively (Swainson et al., 2021). The fact that our cohort had a higher degree of treatment resistance (TMS failure) could explain the limited clinical effects observed.

Novel ways to increase the clinical effects of ketamine need to be explored. A promising approach is the combination of ketamine and talk therapy: ketamine-assisted psychotherapy (KAP). While no single definition of KAP exists, the most integrative approach offers preparatory psychotherapy sessions before the intervention, followed by integrative sessions during and after the treatment period (Dore et al., 2019; Joneborg et al., 2022). The addition of psychotherapy could stabilize the positive neuroplastic effects of ketamine, while the short-term increase in neuroplasticity induced by ketamine could facilitate the integration of new skills and coping mechanisms (Dore et al., 2019; Joneborg et al., 2022). The dissociative effect of ketamine is also thought to be therapeutic in nature, helping patients reevaluate long-held dysfunctional schemata, a phenomenon that could be harnessed by concurrent integrative psychotherapy (Dore et al., 2019; Joneborg et al., 2022). Also, a recent study combining ketamine with automated self-association training was shown to be superior to ketamine alone for depression (Price et al., 2022). Lastly, our group recently published a case report on a patient suffering from bipolar depression who had limited response to TMS and ketamine individually, but who experienced lasting remission following combination treatment with both modalities (Elkrief et al., 2022).

Limitations include the retrospective and uncontrolled open-label nature of this case series. MADRS was the only measure of depression severity and there were no self-rated questionnaires, which could better reflect patient’s perspectives on their improvement (McAllister-Williams et al., 2020). Additionally, no standardized adverse event or dissociation questionnaires were used. Also, we did not collect MADRS scores at follow-up beyond the immediate treatment period. More data on this aspect would have been helpful, given the issue raised about the durability of effects following acute ketamine treatment (Sanacora et al., 2017). Still, there is now evidence that maintenance treatment is feasible, safe, and effective (Alnefeesi et al., 2022).

5. Conclusion

In summary, this case series supports the notion that racemic IV ketamine is safe and well-tolerated for TRD. Even though clinical effects

---

### A. Demographics and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics and clinical characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>49.8 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n [%])</td>
<td>7 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolarity (n [%])</td>
<td>3 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid anxiety (n [%])</td>
<td>12 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Adverse events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>n (percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild to moderate dissociation</td>
<td>12 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased anxiety</td>
<td>2 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Effectiveness outcome

**Fig. 1.** A. Demographics. B. Adverse events. C. Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS). D. Percentage of response (i.e., <50 % reduction in MADRS score) and remission (i.e., <10 score post-treatment) rates.
were limited, improvement is still possible even in highly treatment-refractory patients. Other approaches to explore include combination with psychotherapeutic techniques and TMS. Given the global burden of TRD, novel approaches are needed to curb the current mental health epidemic around the world.
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